Appropriate Wage Policies for a Volatile Economic Environment – Sharing the Burden of Adjustment

Rosa-Mae Whittier
Education and Research Officer
Oilfields Workers' Trade Union

CONFERENCE ON THE ECONOMY 2011



Overview

- In times of a volatile economic environment, income levels are reduced.
- In the face of declining incomes, adjustments are required.
- These adjustments ought not to be borne solely by one sector.
- The burden of this adjustment must be borne in an equitable manner by all key players of the economy



TT Economy – The Indicators

- The global volatile economic environment has impacted the local economy.
- Negative impact is evident in the downward trends of certain key economic indicators, in particular, GDP Growth

Real GDP Growth: 2005-2010

Year	
% Growth	

2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
5.8%	13.4%	4.8%	2.4%	-3.5%	-0.6%

Source: CBTT



TT Economy - The Indicators (cont'd)

- Key Indicators: Jan-March (Q1) 2011
- TT Economy contracted by 1.7%
- Energy Sector contracted by 2.7% (Q4 2010 contraction of 4.1%)
- Non-energy Sector contracted by 0.8%

- rye
- As per these indicators, output, and therefore income is trending downward.
- In the face of falling income, adjustments are indisputably required.
- It is also indisputable that these adjustments must be borne equitable, as far as possible by all sectors
- Key question:
- What are the required adjustments?

Adjustments and the Labour Sector - Context

Outlook of Labour Market – Labour Force by Income	r Market – Labour Force by Income Source: CSO		e: CSO
Monthly Income Group	2005 %	2006 %	2007 %
Less Than \$500	2.11	1.43	1.46
\$500 - \$999	3.76	3.43	2.13
\$1,000 - \$1,499	10.49	8.75	5.77
\$1,500 - \$1,999	11.62	9.82	8.40
\$2,000 - \$2,999	20.85	21.34	19.45
\$3,000 - \$3,999	12.60	14.57	16.08
\$4,000 - \$4,999	6.41	7.11	9.49
\$5,000 - \$5,999	4.60	4.76	5.82
\$6,000 - \$6,999	3.10	3.56	3.93
\$7,000 - \$7,999	1.76	1.76	2.59
\$8,000 - \$8,999	1.52	1.62	2.31
\$9,000 - \$10,999	1.48	1.83	2.40
\$11,000 - \$12,999	0.40	0.43	0.46
\$13,000 - \$14,999	0.10	0.17	0.20
\$15,000 and over	0.85	1.09	1.40
Not Stated/Not Applicable	18.34	18.30	18.1 2



Observations:

- Over the three years ('05, '06, '07) the two largest monthly income groups were:
- \$2,000 \$2,999 and \$3,000 \$3,999
- Higher concentration in lower end income range

	<u>'05</u>	<u>'06</u>	<u>'07</u>
Less than \$4000	61.43%	59.34%	53.29%
per month			



National Income and Wages & Salaries

Wages & Salaries (of Direct Government Expenditure) as a % of GDP

FISCAL YEAR	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Wages &	5 200 Q	E 455.0	0.004.0	0.040.0	0 000 0	0.000.0
Salaries	5,309.2	5,455.6	6,221.3	6,946.9	6,620.3	6,933.6
GDP (Real)	95,057.0	115,925.1	136,925.5	170,937.5	124,115.6	129,753.0
Wages and Salaries	5.59%	4.71%	4.54%	4.06%	5.33%	5.34%
as a & of GDP						

Expressed in Millions of TT\$

Source: Review of the Economy

Conclusion:

- Wages & Salaries, as a percentage of GDP has been declining.
- Workers have therefore been receiving a declining share of the national pie

Impact of In

Impact of Inflation on Incomes – A Case Study *UWI Workers*

JOB	Wage	Wage	% Increase
CLASSIFICATION	[Plus COLA	Dec. '08	In Wage
	As At 21/12/08]	[Plus COLA	
		Jan '09 - June '11]	
Grade 1 01	\$3,991	\$4,116	3.13
Grade 1 11	\$4,961	\$5,086	2.52
Grade 5 01	\$6,035	\$6,160	2.07
Grade 5 11	\$8,445	\$8,570	1.48
Grade 10 <i>01</i>	\$8,965	\$9,090	1.39
Grade 10 <i>11</i>	\$12,115	\$12,240	1.03



Case Study (cont'd)

Change in the RPI:

RPI Jan. 2009: <u>156.2</u> RPI June 2011: <u>180.7</u> Incr. in RPI: <u>24.5 pts./ 15.69%</u>

JOB CLASSIFICATION	% Increase In Wage	% Change In Real Income
Grade 1 <i>01</i> Grade 1 <i>11</i>	3.13 2.52	-12.55 -13.17
Grade 5 <i>01</i> Grade 5 <i>11</i>	2.07 1.48	-13.61 -14.20
Grade 10 <i>01</i> Grade 10 <i>11</i>	1.39 1.03	-14.29 -14.65

Note: Impact of inflation with a 5% increase



Adjustments and the Labour Sector – Other Key Issues

- Times of declining output and income (as illustrated by GDP growth data) – likely to further weaken this sector
- Impact of inflation on incomes falling real incomes

Conclusion: Labour sector finds itself in a relatively weak position, with workers being negatively impacted through the redistributional effects of the current volatile economic environment.

Adjustments and the Business Sector

A Snapshot of Profit Levels

	\$'000	\$'000
Company	Profit 2009	Profit 2010
Unilever	41,484	51,652
Guardian Holdings Ltd.	374,082	437,116
Ansa McAl Ltd.	690,680	749,053

(Profit after tax)

Determining the Appropriate Policies

The Local Context

- In the local economy the labour sector was being called upon to make significant sacrifices to accept fairly low salary/wage increases which would serve to decrease workers' real income.
- At the same time, the relative impact on prices and profits was significantly less.
- The burden of adjustment therefore, was not equitably borne an incorrect approach.



An Appropriate Approach

- The present context being faced by each sector must be examined, so as to determine the extent of adjustment which could be fairly borne.
- This **must** come about through a process of *dialogue* amongst the key actors within the economy: labour, business an government, i.e. a firm tri-partite process
- Determining the adjustments which are required, must not be a unilateral or bilateral processs.



Additionally, when determining the required adjustments, both quantitative factors (e.g. GDP growth, balanced budget) and qualitative factors (standards of living, sustainable livelihoods) must be considered.

Conclusion

Conclusions

- Current trends speak to a volatile economic environment.
- Adjustments are therefore required.
- These adjustments must be borne equitably by all economic actors.
- In determining these adjustments,
- 1.the current context of the given sector must be considered
- 2. dialogue amongst the economic and social partners must be held
- both quantitative and qualitative factors must be considered